Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 PANAMA 04686 000108Z

13

ACTION ARA-20

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 PM-07 NSC-10 SS-15 RSC-01 CIAE-00

DODE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 PA-03 USIA-15 PRS-01 TRSE-00

L-03 OMB-01 AID-20 IGA-02 RSR-01 /110 W

----- 114170

R 312210Z AUG 73

FM AMEMBASSY PANAMA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8432

INFO AMEMBASSY GUATEMALA

AMEMBASSY MANAGUA

AMEMBASSY SAN SALVADOR

AMEMBASSY SAN JOSE

AMEMBASSY TEGUCIGALPA

USCINCSO

AMEMBASSY ASUNCION

AMEMBASSY BOGOTA

AMEMBASSY BRASILIA

AMEMBASSY BRIDGETOWN

AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES

AMEMBASSY CARACAS

AMEMBASSY GEORGETOWN

AMEMBASSY KINGSTON

AMEMBASSY LA PAZ

AMEMBASSY LIMA

AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMEMBASSY MONTEVIDEO

AMEMBASSY PORT AU PRINCE

AMEMBASSY PORT OF SPAIN

AMEMBASSY QUITO

AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

AMEMBASSY SANTO DOMINGO

SECRETPANAMA 4686

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, MARR, PQ

SUBJECT: TRANSFER OF USSOUTHCOM

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 PANAMA 04686 000108Z

REF: STATE 164406

1. SUMMARY: ACTIVITIES OF USSOUTHCOM AND U.S.

MILITARY SERVICES IN PANAMA ARE PART OF OVERALL U.S.

PARTICIPATION IN HEMISPHERIC DEFENSE IN ADDITION TO

SPECIFIC ROLE OF DEFENSE OF PANAMA CANAL. ACTION ON

SOUTHCOM SHOULD THEREFORE BE CONSIDERED IN CONTEXT

U.S. POLICY TOWARD LATIN AMERICA AND HEMISPHERIC

DEFENSE ARRANGEMENTS US WOULD LIKE TO HAVE OVER NEXT

DECADE OR TWO. EXISTING DEFENSE ARRANGEMENTS ARE

OUTGROWTH OF WWII AND DO NOT MEET OUR PRESENT DIPLOMATIC, POLITICAL OR DENFESE NEEDS. GOP HAS STATED

IT HAS HAS NO OBJECTION TO USE OF US FORCES IN PANAMA FOR
SAFETY OR PROTECTION OF CANAL BUT CONSIDERS HEMISPHERIC

RESPONSIBILITIES OF SOUTHCOM AS VIOLATION OF 1903 CANAL

CONVENTION WHICH PERMITS USE OF US ARMED FORCES FOR

CANAL DEFENSE ONLY. END SUMMARY.

2. PRESIDENT'S POLICY IS TO ESTABLISH PARTNERSHIP WITH LATIN AMERICA IN WHICH ALL VOICES ARE HEARD AND NONE IS PREDOMINANT. OUR ASSISTANCE ACTIVITIES ARE TO BE IN SUPPORT OF LATIN AMERICAN INITIATIVES TO BE ACHIEVED ON MULTILATERAL BASIS WITHIN INTER-AMERICAN SYSTEM. THIS POLICY WAS DEVELOPED IN RESPONSE TO CURRENT REALITIES IN LATIN AMERICAN AND OUR RELATIONS WITH AREA. THE CONCEPT AND ORGANIZATION FOR CONDUCT OF U.S. MILITARY RELATIONS WITH LATIN AMERICAN WITH SOME EXCEPTIONS ARE OUT-OF-STEP WITH THIS POLICY.

A. INTER-AMERICAN DEFENSE BOARD WAS ESTABLISHED DURING WWII AND IS LCOATED IN U.S. UNDER CHAIRMANSHIP OF U.S. GENERAL. IADB STAFF DIRECTOR IS ALSO U.S. GENERAL. THIS BODY HAS NOT DEVELOPED ANY AGREED CONCEPT OR PLANS FOR HEMISPHERIC DEFENSE SINCE WWII.

B. SOUTHCOM WAS UNILATERALLY ESTABLISHED BY US IN 1963 TO COORDINATE U.S. MILITARY ACTIVITIES IN LATIN AMERICA.

C. INTER-AMERICAN DEFENSE COLLEGE IN WASHINGTON IS RUN BY U.S.

D. U.S. MILITARY ASSISTANCE IS PROVIDED BILATERALLY ESSENTIALLY ON BASIS OF DEFENSE AND SECURITY CONCEPTS SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 PANAMA 04686 000108Z

ESTABLISHED BY U.S. LATIN AMERICAN ACCEPTED OUR CONCEPTS SO LONG AS THEY WERE BACKED UP BY GRANT MILITARY ASSISTANCE BUT REJECTED THEM AS SOON AS LATIN AMERICA BEGAN TO PAY ITS OWN WAY.

E. THERE IS LITTLE DIRECT COMMUNICATION ON SUB-STANTIVE MATTERS BETWEEN PRINCIPAL U.S. CIVILIAN DE-FENSE AND MILITARY LEADERS AND THOSE OF LATIN AMERICA, ESPECIALLY MAJOR POWERS SUCH AS BRAZIL, ARGENTINA, COLOMBIA, ETC. RELATIONS ARE HANDLED BY MILGPS AND SOUTHCOM BUT THERE IS NO SUBSTITUTE FOR PERIODIC MEETINGS AT TOP DEFENSE LEVELS IF WE ARE TO ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN EFFECTIVE AND WORKABLE DEFENSE ARRANGEMENTS

F. THERE ARE PERIODIC MULTILATERAL MEETINGS ON SERVICE BASIS BUT THERE IS NO MULTILATERAL COORDINATION AMONG SERVICES NOR IS THERE ANY MULTILATERAL UNDERSTANDING AT THE POLITICAL LEVEL (DEFENSE MINISTERS) ON DEFNESE MATERS. THERE ARE MULTILATERAL TRAINING EXERCICES SUCH AS UNITAS.

3. WE NEED A COMPLETE OVERHAUL OF HEMISPHERIC DEFENSE ARRANGEMENTS WHICH WOULD PUT THEM ON MULTILATERAL BASIS AND ADJUST THEM TO U.S. SECURITY NEEDS AND PRESENT POLITICAL REALITIES IN THE HEMISPHERE. THE FIRST STEP IN THIS OVERHAUL SHOULD BE AN INFORMAL MEETING AT MINISTER OF DEFENSE LEVEL TO DISCUSS DE-FENSE PROBLEMS OF HEMISPHERE INCLUDING HOW THEY RELATE TO DEFENSE SITUATION IN REST OF WORLD, CANADA SHOULD PARTICIPATE. OBJECTIVE SHOULD NOT BE TO CONCLUDE ANY NEW OVERALL TREATY OR AGREEMENT BUT TO OBTAIN BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF DEFENSE SITUATION AND ESTABLISH BETTER SYSTEM FOR REGULAR CONSULTATION AMONG RESPONSIBLE DEFENSE OFFICIALS. FROM SUCH A MEETING COULD EMERGE DECISION FOR STUDY GROUP TO DEVELOP DEFENSE CONCEPT AND MORE EFFECTIVE MULTILATERAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR DISCUSSION AND COORDINATION OF DEFENSE MATTERS. IADB IN WASHINGTON IS NOT APPROPRIATE BODY TO DO THIS BE-CAUSE IT IS COMPOSED PRIMARILY OF LATIN OFFICERS OUT OF FAVOR WITH THEIR GOVERNMENTS AND SOME LATIN COUN-TRIES SUCH AS MEXICO ARE OPPOSED TO HAVING DEFENSE POLICY DEVELOPED AND EXECUTED AT MILITARY LEVEL. A SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 PANAMA 04686 000108Z

NEW MULTILATERAL ARRANGEMENT FOR HEMISPHERIC DEFENSE WOULD BY DEFINITION REQUIRE THE U. S. TO RELINQUISH THE UNILATERAL ROLE IT HAS ASSUMED AND TO TRANSFER SOUTHCOM TO U.S. AND REORGANIZE IT SO WOULD BE IN HARMONY WITH THE NEW MULTILATERAL ARRANGEMENT.

4. PANAMA DEOS NOT OBJECT TO PRESENCE OF U.S. ARMED FORCES FOR CANAL DEFNESE. IT TAKES PRIDE IN PRESENCE OF MILITARY SCHOOLS UNDER CONTROL OF U.S. ARMY AND U.S. AIR FORCE AT FORT GULICK AND ALBROOK AFB. PANAMA IS ONE OF PRINCIPAL USERS OF SCHOOLS. PANAMA IS ALSO

ONE OF PRINCIAPL USERS OF SEARCH AND RESCUE. PANAMA HAS INDICATED IN TREATY NEGOTIATION IT WOULD AGREE TO RETAIN THESE ACTIVITIES. BUT PANAMA OBJECTS STRONGLY

TO PRESENCE OF STRATEGIC MILITARY HEADQUARTERS WHICH HAS RESPONSIBILITY BEYOND CANAL DEFENSE AND THIS IS DIPLOMATIC/POLITICAL ISSUE WITH PANAMA. PANAMA NOTES THAT 1901 TREATY BETWEEN U.S. AND GREAT BRITAIN, WHICH WAS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE INTO 1903 CANAL CONVENTION, PROVIDES THAT ISTHMUS WOULD NOT BE FORTIFIED EXCEPT U.S. AT LIBERTY TO USE MILITARY POLICE ALONG CANAL TO MAINTAIN ORDER. THUS PANAMA INSISTS THAT U.S. HAS NO RIGHT UNDER TREATIES TO STATION MILITARY FORCES IN PANAMA FOR MILITARY MISSION OTHER THAN CANAL DEFENSE. IN DOING SO PANAMA CONTENDS U.S. HAS VIOLATED NEUTRALITY PROVISIONS OF 1903 CANAL CONVENTION. SAYRE

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 31 AUG 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: hilburpw
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973PANAMA04686

Document Number: 1973PANAMA04686 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a

Executive Order: GS SAYRE

Errors: N/A Film Number: n/a From: PANAMA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973083/aaaaabmq.tel Line Count: 182 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: ACTION ARA **Original Classification: SECRET**

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: STATE 164406 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: hilburpw

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 12 SEP 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <12-Sep-2001 by thigpegh>; APPROVED <24-Jan-2002 by hilburpw>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: TRANSFER OF USSOUTHCOM SECRET

TAGS: PFOR, MARR, PQ

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005